

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 745,959	12/21/2000	Mareike Katharine Klee	PHD 99,195	2130
75	90 02/21/2003			
Corporate Patent Counsel U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			EXAMINER	
			TAKAOKA, DEAN O	
Tarrytown, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<b>r</b> ^
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/745,959	LOBL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dean O Takaoka	2817	!ross
	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence add	7633
THE N - Extension after S - If the s - If 100 - Failur	PREPLY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Gix (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statulory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by edity received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however  ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minim  period will apply and will expire SI2	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	: <sub>Imm</sub> unication.
status			amandment (C)	
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>December 26, 2002 by</u>	r amenament (♥) .	
2a)⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-fin	al.	ne merits is
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims	under Ex parte Quayro,	nal matters, prosecution as to the 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e memo io
4)[·]	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,13 and 14</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.		
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from considera	tion.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[]		ed.		
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirer	nent.	
	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b) objected	ed to by the Examiner.	
		ion to the drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 37 On the 1.00(4)	the Evaminer
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed o	n <u>27 August 2002</u> is: a)[ <u>}</u>	(approved b) disapproved by	THE EXAMINOR
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	red in reply to this Office ac	tion.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		
Deioritu	under 35 H.S.C. 88 119 and 120			
131	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1011	<b>y</b>			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been rece	eived.	
	a Contitud copies of the priority do	ocuments have been reco	eived in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal	the priority documents h ional Bureau (PCT Rule for a list of the certified o	ave been received in this Nation 17.2(a)). opies not received.	
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	лаг аррисацоп)
1	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang     Acknowledgment is made of a claim foreign.	uage provisional applica	iion has been received.	
Attachm		_		No(s)
1) [ 13	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT offormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pag	0-948) 5) Coper No(s) 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	(PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/745,959

Art Unit: 2817

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4 – 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Ella (U.S. Patent No. 5,910,756) for reasons of record contained in the office action dated September 16, 2002 (paper no. 11).

### Claim 1:

Adds the word "exclusively" and deletes "[and functionally independent to one another]".

It is the position of the Examiner that the addition of the word "<u>exclusively</u>" does not affect the anticipation of the applied prior art of Ella. Ella shows the ladder filter (e.g. Figs. 10a, b) including notches (shown as N1 and N2 – Fig. 10b) where the BAW (3) creates the upper frequency notch (N1) and the shunt BAW (2) creates the lower frequency notch (N2), hence the notch filters exclusively producing notches. The SCF (4) alone does not appear to have a notch and the SCF in conjunction with the BAW notch filters is illustrated in Fig. 10c.

#### Claim 8:

Adds "opposite to the carrier layer".

It is the position of the Examiner that the addition "opposite to the carrier layer" does not affect the anticipation of the applied prior art of Ella.

Ella shows the substrate (36 – Fig. 2) is fastened on the entire assembly (the entire assembly best illustrated by Figs. 14a and 14b in view of the individual element

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/745,959

Art Unit: 2817

construction shown in Fig. 2) opposite to the carrier layer (39 – Fig. 2), and the carrier layer is removed (39 disclosed as the sacrificial layer and opposite the substrate 36).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ella ('756) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ella (U.S. Patent No. 5,714,917) for reasons of record contained in the office action dated September 16, 2002 (paper no. 11).

Claim 14 has not been amended and remains rejected for reasons of rejection of claim 8 above.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 20, 2002 by amendment (amendment C – paper no. 12) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

amended to include the word "exclusively".

It is the position of the Examiner that the addition of the word "<u>exclusively</u>" does not affect the anticipation of the applied prior art of Ella. It is argued that the present invention comprises a bandpass filter and notch filter to which "the notch filter is used <u>exclusively</u> for the purpose of producing a notch at one or both edges of the passband

Application/Control Number: 09/745,959

Art Unit: 2817

generated by the bandpass filter." The prior art of Ella is discussed in which the BAW ladder filter "generate the notches above and below the passband, <u>also function</u> as a bandpass filter for generating a frequency response at the required center frequency."

The current invention shows a notch filter represented by a parallel C and L, comprising a parallel resonance thus forming a notch filter illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3, albeit that the notch (C + L) is shown in series with B, identified in the specification as a "bandpass filter" (page 5). The current invention further shows a cascaded or "ladder" arrangement of resonators (Fig. 5) in which Fig. 5 shows the well-known circuit representation of series and shunt BAW resonators, the shunt BAW resonators all connected to ground. The prior art of Ella also shows the "ladder" configuration with shunt resonators all connected to ground (Figs. 10d, 11a, 11c, 13), albeit with SCR's (stacked crystal filters) which are merely dual or stacked BAW's, thus the prior art of Ella structurally is the same as the current invention (regardless of the mere difference of any multiple series BAW's and/or SCR's, shown by Ella).

It is inferred that the current invention differs from the prior art of Ella because the current invention exclusively creates notches, "However, unlike the present invention

in Ella, which generate the notches above and below the passband, also function as a bandpass filter". Examiner maintains that the functionality of the filters of Ella anticipate the "ladder" BAW network of Fig. 5. Ella shows the ladder filter (e.g. Figs. 10a, b) including notches (shown as N1 and N2 – Fig. 10b) where the series BAW (3) creates the upper frequency notch (N1) and the shunt BAW (2) creates the lower frequency

Application/Control Number: 09/745,959

Art Unit: 2817

notch (N2), hence the notch filters exclusively producing notches. The SCF (4) alone does not appear to have a notch and the SCF in conjunction with the BAW notch filters is illustrated in Fig. 10c, therefore it is the position of the Examiner that the prior art of Ella meets the limitations of the amended claims therefore the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 are maintained.

With respect to claim 8, claim 8 has been amended to include "opposite to the carrier layer". It is the position of the Examiner that the addition "opposite to the carrier layer" does not affect the anticipation of the applied prior art of Ella.

Ella shows the substrate (36 Fig. 2) is fastened on the entire assembly (the entire assembly best illustrated by Figs. 14a and 14b in view of the individual element construction shown in Fig. 2) opposite to the carrier layer (39 – Fig. 2), and the carrier layer is removed (39 disclosed as the sacrificial layer and opposite the substrate 36).

It is argued that Ella shows the sacrificial layer "between the substrate and the membrane". While this is clearly shown in Fig. 2 by Ella which comprises elements membrane 28, substrate 36, sacrificial layer 39, the limitation of claim 8 merely recites "opposite to the carrier layer", thus the spatial relationship of the membrane of the

commensurate to what is being claimed, thus it is the position of the Examiner that the prior art of Ella meets the limitations of the amended claims therefore the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 are maintained.

as the has no correspondence since the archiments are not

#### Conclusion

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/745,959

Art Unit: 2817

The rejections of claims 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, contained in the previous office action dated September 16, 2002 (paper no. 11), are withdrawn in view of the cancellation of claims 15 and 16 by the Applicant without traverse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean O Takaoka whose telephone number is (703) 305-6242. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30a - 5:00p Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

dot

February 10, 2003

Supervisor 180